

IN THE CHAMBER OF ANTI DOPING DISCIPLINARY PANEL

Address: Confrence Room, Pragati Vihar Hostel,
Sujata Cafeteria, Lodhi Road,
New Delhi – 110003

In the Matter of **Mr. HARIKRISHANAN MURALEEDHARAN S/o Mr. Muraleedharan Pillai, (Sports Discipline – Atheletics) Station Sports Section, 410 Air Force Station, Jalahalli West Bangalore, Karntaka- 560015** for the violation of Article 2.1 of Anti Doping Rules/ WADA Code.

1.	Sports Discipline	Athletics
2.	Event	Long Jump
3.	Name of Competition	Out of Competition
4.	Date of Collection of sample	17.06.2011
5.	Nature of sample	Urine
6.	Urine sample Code Number	2592593
7.	Name of Dope Control Officer	Sunil B Kakad
8.	Date of testing 'A' Sample	28.06.2011
9.	Result 'A' sample	Adverse Analytical Findings for 17-β Hydroxy methyl – 17 α methylnorandrost-I, 4, 13-triene-3- one (Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid
10.	Date of Initial Review	29.06.2011
11.	Date of Provisional Suspension	30.06.2011
12.	Date of first notice	30.06.2011
13.	Date of testing 'B' sample	14.07.2011
14.	Result 'B' Sample	Adverse Analytical Findings for 17-β Hydroxy methyl – 17 α

methylnorandrost-I, 4, 13-triene-3-one (Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid

15. Date of second Notice	15.07.2011
16. Date of Notification	27.07.2011
17. Date of hearing decision	
18. Plea of the Athlete	On receipt of Adverse Analytical

findings of 'A' Sample NADA carried out the initial review and placed the athlete under Provisional Suspension w.e.f. 30.06.2011. First notice dated 30.06.2011 was served on the athlete informing him of his right to respond to the assertion that an Anti Doping Rule has been violated. He was also informed of his right to request for analysis of 'B' Sample within 7 working days. His right to attend the opening and analysis of 'B' Sample. His right to ask for copies of the 'A' and 'B' Sample Laboratory report. His right to waive the right to hearing by acknowledging the Anti Doping Rule Violation. He was also informed about the possible consequences of the Anti Doping Rule Violation.

The athlete was also served with the Provisional Suspension and was given the opportunity for presenting himself in NADA office for hearing within 7 days. He was informed that if NADA does not hear from him within the stipulated period it will be presumed that the athlete had nothing to present and he will remain provisionally suspended from sports activities till hearing before the Anti Doping Disciplinary Panel/ Anti Doping Appeal Panel are completed.

Provisional Suspension hearing of the athlete was conducted on 12.07.2011 by a committee of NADA. The athlete informed NADA in writing that he had taken supplements before his dope test. He was practicing for World Military Games. He got fever, severe chest pain and throat infection so he had taken medicines before the dope test. He also stated that he was surprised to know the positive findings of his sample.

On the same date the athlete submitted a written request to witness the opening of the B- Sample. He was directed to contact Dr. Shila Jain, Scientific Director, NDTL for B- Sample Analysis on 13.7.2011.

After the analysis of 'B' sample also resulted in adverse analytical findings the athlete was served with the second notice.

NADA notified its assertion relating to violation of Anti Doping Rule 2.1 by **Mr. HARIKRISHANAN MURALEEDHARAN S/o Mr. Muraleedharan Pillai, (Sports Discipline – Athletics)**. The athlete was served with the notice of hearing dated 29.07.2011. Hearing was conducted by the hearing panel constituted under rule 8.3.2.

The athlete for the first time appeared on 18.08.2011 and submitted in writing that he was in National Athletics Camp during 2009 and 2010. Coach Yuri Yancovich was providing some supplements. He had purchased that supplements from coach. He was given these supplements up to Asian Games but he was not in the Asian Games team so he kept the supplements and used them for other major competition. He stated that he did not know what that supplement contained.

The athlete persisted with his plea of innocence and pleaded that he had not ingested any prohibited substance intentionally or knowingly.

Dr. Pradeep Gupta presented the case on behalf of NADA and produced the documents in support of the case.

The declaration by the athlete at the time of giving the samples does not mention any supplements or medicines to which the athlete has now referred. No notice can be taken of the plea now being raised by the athlete about the supplements provided to him by his coach as he did not mention these supplements at the time of sample collection. The athlete did not state anything about such supplements on 12.07.2011. In fact at that time he mentioned that he had been taking medicines for fever, chest pain and throat infection. The athlete has been changing his plea from time to time and is apparently not telling the truth.

It is each athlete's personal duty to ensure that no prohibited substance enters his body. Athletes are responsible for what they ingest (Article 2.1.1) and have been warned against the possibility of supplement contamination. Athletes are responsible for any Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers found to be present in their bodily specimens. It is not necessary that intent, fault, negligence on knowing use on the Athletes part be demonstrated in order to establish an Anti Doping Rule Violation, under Article 2.1. Steroid is not a specified substance under Article 10.3 of the NADA rules. Hence Steroid is not susceptible to unintentional anti-doping rule violations because of its general availability in medicinal products or which are less likely to be successfully abused as anti-doping agents.

The Athlete failed to establish any grounds for elimination or reduction of period of ineligibility under Article 10.5.1 or Article 10.5.2.

No aggravating circumstances have been alleged for enhanced sanctions.

The period of ineligibility under Article 10.2 for the first violation of Article 2.1 is Two (2) years. Normally the period of ineligibility starts on the date of the hearing decision. The athlete was provisionally suspended w.e.f. 12.07.2011. The athlete is therefore entitled to the benefit of the period of provisional suspension

Under Article 10.2 ineligibility of TWO (2) years has been imposed on the Athlete Mr. HARIKRISHANAN MURALEEDHARAN S/o Mr. Muraleedharan Pillai, (Sports Discipline – Atheletics) Station Sports Section, 410 Air Force Station, Jalahalli West Bangalore, Karntaka- 560015 for the violation of Article 2.1 of Anti Doping Rules, NADA. The athlete was provisionally suspended w.e.f. 30.06.2011. The period of ineligibility shall commence from the date of this order. The period of provisional suspension shall be credited against the total period of ineligibility to be served by the athlete. This decision may be advised to the parties to these proceedings, WADA and relevant International Federation, the National Olympic Committee and national Sports Federation in accordance with Rule 8.5.4 of the National Anti Doping Rules.

Dated: December 23, 2011

Mr. Dinesh Dayal, Chairman

Mr. Ashok Kumar, Sports Person, Member

Dr. N.K Khadiya Medical Doctor, Member

IN THE CHAMBER OF ANTI DOPING DISCIPLINARY PANEL

Address: Confrence Room, Pragati Vihar Hostel,

Sujata Cafeteria, Lodhi Road,

New Delhi – 110003

In Re:

1. **Ms. ASHWINI A.C. AKKUNJI HOUSE, JANSALE (VILL) SIDDAPUR (P.O), KUNDAPURA UDUPI (DISTT), KARNATAKA**

2. **Ms. JAUNA MURMU C/o SURENDRA MURMU AT- BAD KUM RUM, P.O.-CHANGUA, VILLAGE-TIRING, DISTT-MAYURBHANG, PIN-757056, ORISSA**

3. **Ms. MANDEEP KAUR D/O SH. JASBIR SINGH VILLAGE-CHEEMA, KHURD,P.O.-CHEEMA KALA, DISTT- TARAN TARN PUNJAB, TEL. 09410391612**

4. **Ms. PRIYANKA PANWAR 38/B, Road No. 7, Lal Bagh Gandhi Colony Muzafarnagar, Uttar Pradesh**

5. **Ms. SINI JOSE D/o JOSH JOSEPH MUNDAIKAL (H), AVOLY (P.O) AVOLY ERNAKULAM (DIST)- KERALA PH: 9501952927**

6. **Ms. TIANA MARY THOMAS D/O THOMAS MATHEW R/O
KALIYANIYOL (H), CHATHENTHARA (PO),
MUKKOOTTUTHARO, KERALA MOBILE No. 9501952917**

CORAM

Mr. Dinesh Dayal, Advocate, Chairman

Mr. Ashok Kumar, Sports Person, Member

Dr N.K. Khadiya, Medical Doctor, Member

Present: Mr. Gajender Kumar and Ms. Kiran Advocates for National Anti Doping Agency.

Mr. R.K. Anand Advocate for the athletes.

ORDER

1. Six athletes Ms. Ashwini A.C., Ms. Jauna Murmu, Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Ms. Priyanka Pawar, Ms. Sini Jose and Ms Tiana Mary Thomas specializing in 400 Meters and forming part of the 4x 400 Meters relay team were tested during May & June 2011 on various dates as shown in the Annexure A-1 to A-6(ii). Ms. Jauna Murma and Ms. Tiana Mary Thomas were tested twice during this period. Test results for 'A' & 'B' samples of all the athletes confirmed the presence of Metabolites of Methandienone. Samples

of Ms. Mandeep Kaur collected on 25.05.2011 and Ms. Tiana Mary Thomas collected on 12.06.2011 also confirmed the presence of Metabolites of Stanozolol.

2. Ms. Mandeep Kaur was tested on 25.05.2011 and Ms Jauna Murmu was tested on 26.05.2011 by IDTM on behalf of IAAF. All other tests were done by National Anti Doping Agency (herein after referred to as NADA). Initial Review was done by the testing agencies. On 20.7.2011 Director General NADA requested AFI to transfer the two cases to NADA for hearing under the National Anti Doping Rules (Hereinafter referred to as 'The Rules') .
3. Hearing was conducted by the hearing panel constituted under rule 8.3.2 of The Rules.
4. Mr. Gajender Kumar and Ms. Kiran Advocates represented NADA and Mr. R.K. Anand Advocate represented the athletes during the hearings.

Plea of the Athletes

5. The athletes submitted separate written replies to the assertions of doping violations by NADA. The athletes generally submitted that their primary discipline is track and field with expertise in 400 meters track events. Over the last few years they have earned laurels for the country at various tournaments, national and international. They have been tested a number of times in the last few years in and

around these competitions and not even once have they been accused of any doping violation. Each Athlete submitted a detailed chart showing the recent performance of the athlete and Dope results of the recent past. The athletes submitted that in 1999, the Sports Authority of India appointed Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik, a Ukrainian Athletics Coach. He was assigned to do special 400 meters training for the girls selected for the same discipline. Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik is a world famous coach, now about 74 years of age and is reputed to be specialized coach for any 400 meter discipline. There was absolutely no complaint or report against Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik in the last 12 years since he had been working with the athletes with his base at NIS Patiala.

6. After the Asian Games Camp in November 2010, there was a break of three months till the next camp which started in March 2011. As per the usual past practice there was no Recovery Expert appointed or assigned by the AFI for this athletics team despite many requests by the athletes and the coach. The Recovery Expert and the Athletics Federation of India are responsible for providing nutritional supplements to its athletes. However, in the absence of the Recovery Expert and food supplements from the AFI, Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik, the Coach asked these athletes training under him to work on a food supplement programme and utilize some supplements he had bought. This was necessitated since the Federation had not provided any food supplements to the Athletes since quite a few months and the Athletes were going through really intensive training for the Olympics. It was in this context, that the Coach in and around May 10th 2011 gave one bottle of the Ginseng Supplement to each pair of girl Athletes to share along with other food supplements. The Coach had asked the Athletes to have one

pill from the Ginseng Supplement on a daily basis. The Athletes came to know later that that the Ginseng was bought by Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik from Asian Games Village, Guangzhou, China during the Asian Games. The product in question is called ‘Ginseng Kinapi Pil’ which is available in sealed bottles and with Chinese script on it. The Label of the bottle which contained the said pill had a Chinese Script on it including the ingredients. It was therefore, impossible for the Athletes to determine the actual ingredients of the said pill. In this context the Athletes have stated that recently upon doing research over the internet it has been discovered from some supplier sites that the ingredients list of the said pill does not list any of the Prohibited Substances and thus even if there was a translation done prior to the ingestion of the pill, the case would not have been different.

7. The Athletes have quoted the various statements of Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik made to the media and also a statement made to the Sports Authority of India, who had appointed him as the Coach, where he stated that he had purchased four bottles of Ginseng from Asian Games Village, Guangzhou, China. He had given these bottles to the girls to share. That he is a professional Coach and had given only food supplements to the Athletes. When they requested SAI they were given only Vitamins and Proteins because they did not have money. But for Olympics you need modern food supplements. He accepted that he was responsible because he had written the schedule but he had never mentioned any anabolic drugs.
8. The athletes stated that they had absolutely no reason to believe that the said bottle would contain anything but Ginseng particularly

since it had been sourced from China which the native area for the preparation and distribution of Ginseng, a Chinese herb and had been so supplied by the Coach. They had no option but to have complete faith in the 74 year old Coach Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik who had been appointed by SAI in order to provide complete training to the 400 meter team. The Athletes had absolutely no reason to believe that the said bottles would be contaminated or could be reasonably expected to be contaminated because Ginseng as a food supplement has been regularly administered and provided by the AFI to the Athletes from time to time and is therefore presumed, without the necessity of check to be safe, acceptable and permitted.

9. The Athlete have stated that the Government of India appointed a one man Committee under Justice Mukul Mudgal to investigate such incidents of doping amongst the athletes and with a further mandate to determine the facts and circumstances leading to dope incidents, to examine the reasons for such large scale prevalence of doping and *modus operandi involved* including availability of Prohibited Substances in and around training camps and competitions: to inquire into the role of agencies involved, to suggest remedial measures to improve the protocols of dope testing so that such lapses do not happen in future. The Committee visited national Institute of Sports (NIS) Patiala and met various coaches and athletes. The Committee also directed testing of pills of various supplements used by the Athletes which had been seized. These Pills were sent for testing to the NDTL by the Committee. The test results show the presence of Methandienone and Stanozolol in 'Ginseng Kinapi Pil'. Subsequently the athletes in order to facilitate the inquiry and investigation voluntarily submitted a sealed bottle of the supplement to the Justice Mudgal Committee, which sent the sealed

bottle also for further testing by the NDTL. The test result for this sealed bottle of 'Ginseng Kinapi Pil' also confirmed the presence of Methandienone and Stanozolol.

10. The Athlete have pleaded that in view of these facts there was no breach of personal duty of the athlete as per Article 2.1.1 of the Rules and therefore no sanction or ineligibility or strictures be imposed. The Athletes have stated that they bore absolutely no fault nor negligence in respect of this alleged doping violation and therefore claim relief under Article 10.5.1 of the Rules for elimination of the period of ineligibility if so considered appropriate by this panel.

11. In the alternative and without prejudice to the above the Athletes submit that if the Panel does not consider the case fit for either of the two situations above, the Athletes reserve their right to plead "No significant Fault or Negligence" under Article 10.5.2. of the Rules.

Submissions by National Anti Doping Agency

12. NADA submitted separate written Rejoinder in each case and alleged that it is each Athletes personal duty to ensure that no Prohibited Substance enters his or her body. Athletes are responsible for any Prohibited Substance or its Metabolite or Markers found to be present in their Samples. Accordingly it is not necessary that

intent, fault, negligence or knowing use on the Athlete's part be demonstrated in order to establish an Anti Doping Rule violation under Article 2.1 of the Rules. Admittedly Prohibited Substance(s) were found in the Samples of these athletes. Thus the violation of Article 2.1 of the Rules is established. The Athletes have not disputed the procedure of collection, testing of samples and the 'A' and 'B' Sample reports. In fact the defense taken by the Athletes is an admission of the facts that their Coach had given them the nutritional supplement and that there were Prohibited Substances in the nutritional supplement, Ginseng Kinapi Pil being administered by the coach. It is the case of NADA that the admission of the Athlete that they had taken supplement under the instructions of the Coach does not absolve them of the legal obligations as enumerated in Article 2.1 and 2.1.1 & 2.1.2 of the Rules.

- 13.** NADA has quoted extensively from the Rules and the Comments on the Rules to argue the Athletes are not entitled to any benefit either under Rule 10.5.1 or under Rule 10.5.2.
- 14.** It is stated that samples of these athletes were collected during May & June 2011 and their 'A' & 'B' samples returned Adverse Analytical findings for Metabolites of Methandienone. Further the samples of Ms. Mandeep Kaur collected on 25.05.2011 and Ms. Tiana Mary Thomas collected on 12.06.2011 also confirmed the presence of Metabolites of Stanozolol. The Athletes have admitted the presence of the Prohibited Substance(s) in their body and thus they are not entitled to any kind of elimination or reduction in the period of ineligibility.

Evidence

15. The test results of both 'A' & 'B' Samples of the athletes establish the presence of Metabolites of Methandienone in the body of all these athletes. Further the samples of Ms. Mandeep Kaur collected on 25.05.2011 and Ms. Tiana Mary Thomas collected on 12.06.2011 also confirmed the presence of Metabolites of Stanazolol. The Athletes did not accept the results of the Analysis of 'A' samples and on their request their 'B' samples were also tested which resulted in adverse analytical findings. The athletes requested for and were provided the detailed reports and documentation package. Thereafter the Athletes have not disputed the procedure of sample collection, the chain of custody and the result of analysis.

16. Immediately after the reporting of the adverse analytical findings an inquiry was initiated by Sports Authority of India (SAI) which was conducted by Dr. Prem Chand Kashyap ED (TEAMS) who submitted his report on 5th July 2011. On the request of the Athletes this report was summoned. The facts brought out during thte said inquiry and the findings of Dr. Prem Chand Kashyap are as under;

2.1 The undersigned in the presence of Mr. L.S. Ranawat, ED (Academics) and Mr. Satish Rana, PO (TEAMS), met Mr. Bahadur Singh, Chief National Athletic Coach and Mr. Sanjay Garnaik, Athletic Coach who also works as coordinator for Athletic Coaching Camps, on 3rd July, 2011 at 6.00 p.m.

2.2 The coaches have explained the various aspects of conduct of National Coaching camps in Athletics at NS NIS, Patiala but unable to give any clue on the

food supplements taken/being taken by the athletes who were caught in Doping. However, it was informed that the various agencies have gone for Dope collection on various occasions at NS NIS, Patiala, the details are placed at Annexure-I,

3. The undersigned along with Ed (Academics) and Mr. Bahadur Singh, Chief National Athletic Coach decided to visit the rooms of National Campers who are provisionally suspended in silver Jubilee and P.T. Usha hostels and also meet other athletes.

3.1 It was informed that Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Ms. Jauna Murmu, Ms. Sini Jose and Ms. Tiana Thomas left NS NIS campus on 2nd July, 2011 after their provisional suspension.

3.2 The above officers met the female athletes namely Ms. Priyanka Pawar, Ms. Ashwani AC, Ms. Debashree, Ms. Ratandeep Kaur and Ms. Ranjana Roy in their rooms to gather information on various food supplements which they were/are taking.

3.3 Ms. Priyanka Pawar informed that on 10th May, 2011, 08 girls namely Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Ms. Jauna Murmu, Ms. Ashwani AC, Ms. Manjeet Kaur, Ms. Sini Jose, Ms. Tiana Thomas, Ms. Mridula and myself collected about Rs. 5,000-6,000 and bought the food supplements from M/s. Hind Medical Stores, a medical shop situated near Ayurvedic College outside the NS NIS campus.

3.4 As informed by Ms. Priyanka Pawar that they have bought food supplements such as Tribulus (Bulgarian), Amino BCA & Branch Chain Amino Acid (BCAA), Glutamine, Creatine, Multi-vitamin Capsules and Iron Capsules.

*3.5 Further, Ms. Ashwani Ac showed a packet of **GINSENG KIANPI PIL** (Chinese make) and informed that these capsules were provided by Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik, Foreign Coach, to them.*

4. The Indian Athletic Coaches Mr. R.S. Sidhu and Mr. Ramesh were called at 8.30 p.m. on 3rd July, 2011 to find out about the purchase of food supplements from

open market. The Coaches informed that they have never purchased any food supplements for athletes, however, they have confirmed that the food supplements recommended by Mr. Iurii are being taken by the group of the athletes as per the prescription given by Mr. Iurii. Further it has been confirmed by these coaches that they have never been involved/encouraged any kind of Doping to their Athletes rather always guided the Athletes training under them to be careful from all such medicines etc., which may create problems for them.

5. *On 4th July, 2011 at 10.30 a.m., Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik and Mr. R.S. sidhu were called to ascertain the facts on the purchase of food supplements from the open market and supply of Ginseng Kinapi Pil (Chinese make) to the athletes.*

5.1 *Mr. Iurii confirmed that he had earlier purchased 4 packets of Ginseng Kinapi Pil (each packet contains 60 capsules) from Guangzhou (china) during Asian Games in November, 2010. He further confirmed that he has distributed these 4 packets of Ginseng Kinapi Pil to Ms. Ashwani Ac, Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Ms. Sini Jose, Ms. Tiana Thomas, Ms. Jauna Murmu and Ms. Priyanka Pawar.*

5.2 *He further showed the programme of food supplements administered on his female athletes before Commonwealth Games and Asian Games, 2010 and the programme of food supplements from 10th May, 2011 till 10th June, 2011. The copy of such programme in three pages is placed at Annexure-II.*

5.3 *On asking about the intake of food supplements being supplied by NS NIS, Patiala, Mr. Iurii stated that these food supplements are not of good quality and my athletes require more food supplements.*

6. *Further, the meeting was held in the Office of Dr. M. Bhattacharjee, Sr. Scientific Officer (Sports Medicine), who is in-charge of distribution of the food supplements to the National Campers.*

6.1 *Dr. Bhattacharjee informed that due to non-extension of contract with the firms supplying the food supplements, for some time the stock was limited but on selective basis the food supplements were issued to the various teams from April,*

2011 onwards, the details obtained from Dr. M. Bhattacharjee, SSO (SM) w.r.t. supply of food supplements to National Campers since April, 2011, is placed at Annexure-III.

6.2 Dr. Bhattacharjee also informed that the athletes are reluctant to appear for the initial medical test and also they are irregular in reporting to receive food supplements.

7. On 4th July, 2011 at 3.00 p.m., Ms. Mandeep Kaur and Ms. Jauna Murmu were called along with the food supplements, Whatever available with them, in the lounge of Guest House at NS NIS, Patiala. Mr. Iurii and Mr. R.S. Sidhu were also present while talking to these two athletes.

7.1 Both the athletes confirmed that they have never taken even a single pill of any food supplement/medicine other than what has been prescribed by Mr. Yuri, Foreign Coach.

7.2 Since the undersigned was not able to meet Ms. Mridula on 3rd July, 2011 and she left NS NIS, Patiala on the morning of 4th July, 2011 for Delhi to further go for Asian Championship in Kobe, Japan, therefore I requested Ms. Mandeep Kaur if she can connect me to Ms. Mridula on her mobile phone.

7.3 while talking to Ms. Mridula who is also a 400 M runner, she has confirmed that she was also taking the food supplements as per the programme of Mr. Iurii and as she did not get Ginseng Kinapi Pil (China Make) from Mr. Iurii, therefore, she bought Ginseng capsules of Amway company from the Open Market.

7.4 Mr. Iurii also informed that before commonwealth Games, the food supplements as per his programme were also provided by Athletic Federation of India which were bought by them from London. He further said that the complete programme of food supplements was implemented on all the athletes along with intensive training and have achieved good results in Commonwealth and Asian Games, 2010.

7.5 Mr. Iurii asserted that all the Athletes are very senior and I have never administered any banned food supplements/drug on any of them. Ms. Mandeep Kaur and Ms. Jauna Murmu also stated that we are well aware of the fact that we are on the list of WADA and any time the WADA or NADA can collect their dope samples, therefore, they said that they were always extra cautious to keep away themselves from any kind of banned substances.

8. Observation:- While analyzing the deliberations with Coaches, Athletes, Scientists, detailed report of Dope tests in Senior National Athletic Camp as placed at Annexure-I and the names of Athletes who are found positive, it has been noticed that the athletes namely Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Ms. Juna Murmu, Ms. Sini Jose, Ms. Tiana Thomas, Ms. Ashwani AC and Ms. Priyanka Pawar are found positive who were taking the food supplements programme along with Ginseng Kinapi Pil (China make) as prescribed by Mr. Iurii, whereas, Ms. Mridula who did not get Ginseng Kinapi Pil (China make) but did take Ginseng of AMWAY company was found negative in her Dope test administered on 14th June, 2011.

9. The left-over food supplements as handed over by Ms. Mandeep Kaur and Ms. Jauna Murmu such as Tribulus (Bulgarian), Tribulus (Now Sports), GNC Triflex, BCAA (Branch Chain Amino Acid), Ferium XT and Ginseng Kinapi Pil (China make) are kept in safe custody in TEAMS Division for record and further reference.

Submitted for perusal please.

(Dr. Prem Chand Kashyap)

ED (TEAMS)

5th July, 2011

17. The Coach Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik had been appointed by SAI in 1999. He was assigned to do special 400 meters training with these athletes.

The athletes submitted a computer CD containing three videos of news reports aired relating to Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik and one video recording of a press conference which was held in Patiala by Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik before he left India. The athletes have also filed transcript of the press conference. The substance of the various statements made by Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik is that he had purchased 4 bottles of Ginseng Kinapi Pil from Guangzhou, China during the Asian Games and had given these to the girl athletes to share. He had also given a written programme of food supplements which was to be implemented by these athletes. This programme provided that each athlete had to take one Ginseng Kinapi Pil every day before sleep. Copy of this programme is also attached with the report of Dr. Prem Chand Kashap. The athletes have also filed a copy of this programme before this Panel.

18. It is therefore established that the Coach Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik had purchased the Ginseng Kinapi Pil from the market and had given it to the athletes with written directions to consume one pill daily before sleep.

19. This was necessitated due to non-extension of contract with the firms supplying the food supplements to SAI and as such for some time the stock of food supplements with SAI was limited.

20. The samples of food supplements submitted by the athletes before Dr. P.C. Kashyap and mentioned in his report referred to above were handed over by him to The Committee of Justice Mukul Mudgal 13.7.2011. The said Committee

directed the NDTL to test the said food supplements. Copy of the test report of NDTL has been placed before us by the Athletes.

21. Following Lab Codes were assigned at the NDTL to each item

1. NS039- 75 capsules were found in opened company bottle of 200 capsules. BCAA (Neulite) was written on the bottle (Batch No. S01A1020A)
2. NS040- 41 capsules were found in opened company bottle of 90 capsules. Tribulus (Bulgarian), Ultimate Nutrition was written on the bottle. (Batch no. 003268)
3. NS041- 05 capsules were found in opened company bottle of 60 capsules.

Ginseng Kinapi Pil (Chinese), was written on the bottle (Batch no.71151F)

4. NS042- 36 capsules were found in opened company bottle of 60 capsules. TriFlex of General Nutrition Corpn (GNC), was written on the bottle (Batch no. 5617112769)
5. NS043- 47 capsules were found in opened company bottle of 90 capsules. Tribulus of Now Sports, was written on the bottle. (Batch no. 12775721826).
6. NS044 - 05 Tablets (Strip of 10 tablets) Ferium XT, Emcure Pharmaceuticals Ltd., was written on the strip. (Batch no. 16A10076)

22. The relevant findings submitted by the NDTL to the Justice Mudgal Committee are as follows

1. NS039 – No banned substance detected as per attached list attached.
 2. NS040 – No banned substance detected as per attached list attached.
 3. NS041 – Found Methandienone and Stanozolol.
 4. NS042 – No banned substance detected as per attached list attached.
 5. NS043 – No banned substance detected as per attached list attached.
 6. NS044 – No banned substance detected as per attached list attached.
23. The reference to attached list is to the list of WADA Prohibited Substances.
24. One of the Athletes namely Priyanka Panwar had a sealed bottle of Ginseng Kinapi Pil. This was also submitted to the Committee and sent for testing to the NDTL.
25. After opening the sealed bottle, lab Code was assigned as NS056
26. NS056- 60 capsules were found in company bottle of 60 capsules. Ginseng Kinapi Pil (Chinese), was written on the bottle, Out of 60 nos of capsules one was of buff colour while others were greenish in colour.

27. The findings of the NDTL were as follows:

1. NS056 – Found Methandienone and Stanozolol

28. The NS056 showed presence of Methandienone and Stanozolol as parent compound. In order to further authenticate the finding, one capsule of supplement no. NS056 from the sealed bottle of Ginseng Kinapi Pil – Chinese Ginseng was given to healthy volunteer and urine samples were collected at different intervals over the period of 24 hours. All the Urine samples were tested by three different screening procedures. The results showed presence of all the four metabolites of Methandienone and three metabolites of Stanozolol along with their parent compound.

29. It also appears that one other 4x400 meters athlete namely Ms. Mridula was also following the same food supplement programme of Coach Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik. She however, did not get the Ginseng Kinapi Pil from the Coach and was taking Ginseng manufactured by Amway. She was also tested along with these Athletes but tested negative.

30. The Athletes had been taking Ginseng under food supplement programmes given to them by the Coach and had taken the Ginseng Kinapi Pil under the food supplement programme titled “PROGRAM FOOD SUPPLEMENT FROM 10.05 TILL 10.062011”

31. The athletes have stated that the Label of the bottle which contained the said pill had a Chinese Script on it including the ingredients. It was therefore, impossible for the Athletes to determine the actual ingredients of the said pill. In

this context the Athletes have stated that recently upon doing research over the internet it has been discovered from some supplier sites that the ingredients list of the said pill does not list any of the Prohibited Substances and thus even if there was a translation done prior to the ingestion of the pill, the case would not have been different.

APPLICABLE RULES

32. **2.1.1** It is each *Athlete's* personal duty to ensure that no *Prohibited Substance* enters his or her body. *Athletes* are responsible for any *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* found to be present in their Samples. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, fault, negligence or knowing *Use* on the *Athlete's* part be demonstrated in order to establish an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1.

3.1 Burdens and Standards of Proof

NADA has the burden of establishing that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred. The standard of proof shall be whether NADA has established an anti-doping rule violation to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel bearing in mind the seriousness of the allegation that is made. This standard of proof in all cases is greater than a mere balance of probability but less than proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

Where these Anti-Doping Rules place the burden of proof upon the *Athlete* or other *Person* alleged to have committed an anti-doping rule violation to rebut a presumption or establish specified facts or circumstances, the standard of proof shall be by a balance of probability, except as provided in Articles 10.4 and 10.6 where the Athlete must satisfy a higher burden of proof. **10.2**

10.5 Elimination or Reduction of Period of *Ineligibility* Based on Exceptional Circumstances.

10.5.1 *No Fault or Negligence*

If an *Athlete* establishes in an individual case that he or she bears *No Fault or Negligence*, the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* shall be eliminated. When a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Markers* or its *Metabolites* is detected in an *Athlete's sample* in violation of Code Article 2.1 (Presence of *Prohibited substance*), the *Athlete* shall also establish how the *Prohibited Substance* entered their system in order to have the period of *Ineligibility* eliminated. In the event that this Article is applied and the period of *Ineligibility* otherwise applicable is eliminated, the anti-doping rule violation shall not be considered a violation only for the limited purpose of determining the period of *Ineligibility* for multiple violations under Articles 10.7.

10.5.2 *No Significant Fault or Negligence*

If an *Athlete* or other *Person* establishes in an individual case that he or she bears *No Significant Fault or Negligence*, then the period of *Ineligibility* may be reduced, but the reduced period of *Ineligibility* may not be less than one-half of the period of *Ineligibility* otherwise applicable. If the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* is a lifetime, the reduced period under this section may be no less than 8 years.

When a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Markers* or *Metabolites* is detected in an *Athlete's* sample in violation of Code Article 2.1 (Presence of *Prohibited Substance*), the *Athlete* shall also establish how the *Prohibited Substance* entered their system in order to have the period of *Ineligibility* reduced. **10.9.1**

10.9 Commencement of *Ineligibility* Period

10.9.1 Except as provided below, the period of *Ineligibility* shall start on the date of the hearing decision providing for *Ineligibility* or, if the hearing is waived, on the date *Ineligibility* is accepted or otherwise imposed.

10.9.2 Any period of *Provisional Suspension* (whether imposed or voluntarily accepted) shall be credited against the total period of *Ineligibility* to be served.

FINDINGS

33. The Coach Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik had been appointed by SAI in 1999. He was assigned to do special 400 meters training with these athletes.

34. The Coach Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik procured 4 bottles of Ginseng Kinapi Pil from the market and gave to these athletes with a written food supplement programme starting 10.5.2011.

35. There was shortage of food supplements with SAI and the necessary food supplement required for training of world class athletes for international competitions were not available with SAI.

36. No recovery expert was available at NIS Patiala.

37. The bottles of Ginseng Kinapi Pil given by The Coach Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik were contaminated and contained Methandienone and Stanozolol. The adverse analytical findings in the samples of the athletes were a result of the contaminated food supplement namely Ginseng Kinapi Pil given to them by The Coach Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik.

38. The athletes had full faith in the coach who had been working since 1999 and did not verify the food supplement Ginseng Kinapi Pil from the manufacturer before ingesting it.

39. For purposes of anti-doping violations involving the presence of a Prohibited Substance (or its Metabolites or Markers), NADA's Anti-Doping Rules adopt the rule of strict liability which was found in the Olympic Movement Anti-Doping Code ("OMADC") and the vast majority of pre-Code anti-doping rules. Under the strict liability principle, an Athlete is responsible, and an anti-doping

rule violation occurs, whenever a Prohibited Substance is found in an Athlete's Sample. The violation occurs whether or not the Athlete intentionally or unintentionally used a Prohibited Substance or was negligent or otherwise at fault. If the positive Sample came from an In-Competition test, then the results of that Competition are automatically invalidated. However, the Athlete then has the possibility to avoid or reduce sanctions if the Athlete can demonstrate that he or she was not at fault or significant fault or in certain circumstances did not intend to enhance his or her sport performance.

40. We therefore find that Anti Doping Rule Violation has been established with respect to all these athletes.

41. The strict liability rule for the finding of a Prohibited Substance in an Athlete's Sample, with a possibility that sanctions may be modified based on specified criteria, provides a reasonable balance between effective anti doping enforcement for the benefit of all "clean" Athletes and fairness in the exceptional circumstance where a Prohibited Substance entered an Athlete's system through no fault or negligence on the Athlete's part. It is important to emphasize that while the determination of whether the anti-doping rule has been violated is based on strict liability, the imposition of a fixed period of Ineligibility is not automatic.

42. In assessing the Athlete's degree of fault, the circumstances considered have to be specific and relevant to explain the Athlete or other Person's departure from the expected standard of behavior.

43. In the instant cases the athletes were training at NIS Patiala. The coach Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik gave them a written food supplement programme. The coach Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik had been appointed by the SAI and was not a coach selected or appointed by the Athletes. Ordinarily the Athlete is responsible for what they ingest and for the conduct of those persons to whom they entrust access to their food. However, in the context of the circumstances of these Athletes it has to be borne in mind that SAI used to provide them with food supplements. The Athletes could not be expected to verify such supplements provided to them by the authority responsible for sports in the country. Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik had been appointed by SAI and had been working in India since 1999. He was thus a part of the SAI and the Athletes had been training under his guidance for several years. There had never been any complaint against Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik and he was a world renowned coach. He had taken these athletes to great heights. Each of these athletes had won several medals training under this coach and had been tested for dope several times. In such circumstances, Mr. Anand would argue that Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik was like a father figure to these athletes. It was not the Athletes who had entrusted Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik with the task of coaching them. In fact SAI had entrusted these athletes to Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik for training. To the athletes Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik was a part of SAI. It was natural for the Athletes to have unflinching faith and confidence in the coach. It has been shown that the Athletes had been taking Ginseng as a food supplement under earlier programmes given to them by Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik. Ginseng was not a new supplement. When Mr. Iurii Ogorodnik gave the bottles of Ginseng Kinapi Pil to the athletes they had no occasion to suspect that these could be contaminated as they had been purchased by their coach. It may be said that since the athletes knew that the supplements had been purchased from the open market it was their duty to verify the same from the manufacturer. However, the Athletes would argue that they believed that such an experienced coach would have purchased the supplements from an authorized vendor and would have duly verified the genuineness of the product.

44. We are therefore of the view that the Athletes have been able to establish how the prohibited substance entered their body and that they bear *no significant fault or negligence* and are entitled a reduction in the period of ineligibility under Article 10.5.2. of the Rules. We also find that the two athletes Ms. Jauna Murmu and Tiana Mary Thomas who were tested twice during May and June 2011 cannot be held guilty of two violations as the adverse analytical findings in both the tests conducted on them were the result of the ingestion of the same supplement.

RESULT

45. Under Article 10.2 read with 10.5.2 ineligibility of One (1) year is imposed on the Athletes

Ms. ASHWINI A.C. AKKUNJI HOUSE, JANSALE (VILL) SIDDAPUR (P.O), KUNDAPURA UDUPI (DISTT), KARNATAKA

Ms. JAUNA MURMU C/o SURENDRA MURMU AT- BAD KUM RUM, P.O.-CHANGUA, VILLAGE-TIRING, DISTT-MAYURBHANG, PIN-757056, ORISSA

Ms. MANDEEP KAUR D/O SH. JASBIR SINGH VILLAGE- CHEEMA, KHURD, P.O.-CHEEMA KALA, DISTT- TARAN TARN PUNJAB, TEL. 09410391612

Ms. PRIYANKA PANWAR 38/B, Road No. 7, Lal Bagh Gandhi Colony
Muzafarnagar, Uttar Pradesh

Ms. SINI JOSE D/o JOSH JOSEPH MUNDAIKAL (H), AVOLY (P.O)
AVOLY ERNAKULAM (DIST)- KERALA PH: 9501952927 AND

Ms. TIANA MARY THOMAS D/O THOMAS MATHEW R/O
KALIYANIYOL (H), CHATHENTHARA (PO), MUKKOOTTUTHARO,
KERALA MOBILE No. 9501952917

for the violation of article 2.1 of Anti Doping Rules, NADA . The period of ineligibility shall commence from the date of this order. Any period of Provisional Suspension shall be credited against the total period of ineligibility to be served by each athlete. This decision may be advised to the parties to these proceedings, WADA and relevant International Federation, the National Olympic Committee and national Sports Federation in accordance with Rule 8.5.4 of the National Anti Doping Rules.

Dated: December 23, 2011

DINESH DAYAL, Advocate- Chairman

Dr. N.K Khadiya, Medical Doctor - Member

Mr. Ashok Kumar, Sports Person – Member

ANNEXURE A-1

Ms. ASHWINI A.C. AKKUNJI HOUSE, JANSALE (VILL)
SIDDAPUR (P.O), KUNDAPURA UDUPI (DISTT), KARNATAKA for the
 violation of Article 2.1 of Anti Doping Rules/ WADA Code.

1.	Sports of the athlete	Athletics
2.	Discipline of the athlete	400 Meters
3.	Name of Competition	Out of competition
4.	Date of Collection of sample	27.06.2011
5.	Nature of sample	Urine
6.	Sample Code	414466
7.	Name of DCO	Virender Singh
8.	Date of testing 'A' Sample	04.07.2011
9.	Result 'A' sample	Adverse analytical finding

For 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl-17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

10.	Date of Provisional Suspension	04.07.2011
-----	--------------------------------	------------

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|------------|
| 11. | Date of first notice | 04.07.2011 |
| 12. | Date of testing 'B' sample | 08.07.2011 |
| 13. | Result 'B' Sample | |

Adverse analytical finding

For 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl-17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|------------|
| 14. | Date of second Notice | 11.07.2011 |
| 15. | Date of Notification | 27.07.2011 |

ANNEXURE A-2(i)

Ms. JAUNA MURMU C/o SURENDRA MURMU AT- BAD KUM RUM,
P.O.-CHANGUA,VILLAGE-TIRING, DISTT-MAYURBHANG, PIN-757056,
ORISSA for the violation of Article 2.1 of Anti Doping Rules/ WADA Code.

1.	Sports of the athlete	Athletics
2.	Discipline of the athlete	400 Meters
3.	Name of Competition	Out of Competition
4.	Date of Collection of sample	26.05.2011
5.	Nature of sample	Urine
6.	Sample Code	3034348
7.	Name of DCO	Mr. Abhishek Saini
8.	Date of testing 'A' Sample	08.06.2011
9.	Result 'A' sample	Adverse analytical finding

For Epimethandiol 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl- 17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

10.	Date of first notice	10.06.2011
11.	Date of Provisional Suspension	23.06.2011
12.	Date of testing 'B' sample	29.06.2011
13.	Result 'B' Sample	

Adverse analytical finding

For Epimethandiol 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl- 17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|------------|
| 14. | Date of second Notice | 08.07.2011 |
| 15. | Date of Notification | 27.07.2011 |

ANNEXURE A-2(ii)

Ms. MURMU JAUNA S/o SURENDRA MURMU AT- BADKUMHUM,
HANQUA, TIRING MAYURBHANG, ORISSA PIN-757056, Mobile No.
09316491570 for the violation of Article 2.1 of Anti Doping Rules/ WADA Code.

1.	Sports of the athlete	Athletics
2.	Discipline of the athlete	400 Meters
3.	Name of Competition	Out of Competition
4.	Date of Collection of sample	12.06.2011
5.	Nature of sample	Urine
6.	Sample Code	427881
7.	Name of DCO	Ms. Murali Banavath
8.	Date of testing 'A' Sample	29.06.2011
9.	Result 'A' sample	Adverse analytical finding

For 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl-17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

10.	Date of first notice	30.06.2011
11.	Date of provisional suspension	30.06.2011
12.	Date of testing 'B' sample	29.06.2011
13.	Result 'B' Sample	

Adverse analytical finding

For 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl-17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

14. Date of second Notice 08.07.2011

15. Date of Notification 27.07.2011

ANNEXURE A -3

Ms. MANDEEP KAUR D/O SH. JASBIR SINGH VILLAGE- CHEEMA, KHURD,P.O.-CHEEMA KALA, DISTT- TARAN TARN PUNJAB, TEL. 09410391612 for the violation of Article 2.1 of Anti Doping Rules/ WADA Code.

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. | Sports of the athlete | Athletics |
| 2. | Discipline of the athlete | 400 Meters |
| 3. | Name of Competition | Out of Competition |
| 4. | Date of Collection of sample | 25.05.2011 |
| 5. | Nature of sample | Urine |
| 6. | Sample Code | 3034346 |
| 7. | Name of DCO | Mr. Abhishek Saini |
| 8. | Date of testing 'A' Sample | 08.06.2011 |
| 9. | Result 'A' sample | Adverse analytical finding |

For Epimethandiol 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl- 17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

- | | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|------------|
| 10. | Date of first notice | 10.06.2011 |
| 11. | Date of Provisional Suspension | 23.06.2011 |
| 12. | Date of testing 'B' sample | 29.06.2011 |
| 13. | Result 'B' Sample | |

Adverse analytical finding

For Epimethandiol 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl- 17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|------------|
| 14. | Date of second Notice | 08.07.2011 |
| 15. | Date of Notification | 27.07.2011 |

ANNEXURE A-4

Ms. PRIYANKA PANWAR 38/B, Road No. 7, Lal Bagh Gandhi Colony Muzafarnagar, Uttar Pradesh for the violation of Article 2.1 of Anti Doping Rules/ WADA Code.

1.	Sports of the athlete	Athletics
2.	Discipline of the athlete	400 Meters
3.	Name of Competition	Out of competition
4.	Date of Collection of sample	27.06.2011
5.	Nature of sample	Urine
6.	Sample Code	414458
7.	Name of DCO	Virender Singh
8.	Date of testing 'A' Sample	04.07.2011
9.	Result 'A' sample	Adverse analytical finding

For 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl-17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

10.	Date of first notice	04.07.2011
11.	Date of provisional suspension	05.07.2011
12.	Date of testing 'B' sample	08.07.2011
13.	Result 'B' Sample	

Adverse analytical finding

For 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl-17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|------------|
| 14. | Date of second Notice | 11.07.2011 |
| 15. | Date of Notification | 15.07.2011 |

ANNEXURE A- 5

Ms. SINI JOSE D/o JOSH JOSEPH MUNDAIKAL (H), AVOLY (P.O)
AVOLY ERNAKULAM (DIST)- KERALA PH: 9501952927 for the violation
of Article 2.1 of Anti Doping Rules/ WADA Code.

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|--|
| 1. | Sports of the athlete | Athletics |
| 2. | Discipline of the athlete | 400 Meters |
| 3. | Name of Competition | 51 st National Senior Inter State Athletics Champ
2011 |
| 4. | Date of Collection of sample | 12.06.2011 |
| 5. | Nature of sample | Urine |
| 6. | Sample Code | 427883 |
| 7. | Name of DCO | Murali Banavath |
| 8. | Date of testing 'A' Sample | 29.06.2011 |
| 9. | Result 'A' sample | Adverse analytical finding |

For 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl-17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

- | | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|------------|
| 10. | Date of first notice | 30.06.2011 |
| 11. | Date of Provisional Suspension | 06.07.2011 |
| 12. | Date of testing 'B' sample | 07.07.2011 |
| 13. | Result 'B' Sample | |

Adverse analytical finding

For 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl-17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|------------|
| 14. | Date of second Notice | 08.07.2011 |
| 15. | Date of Notification | 15.07.2011 |

ANNEXURE A -6(i)

**Ms. TIANA MARY THOMAS D/O THOMAS MATHEW R/O
KALIYANIYOL (H), CHATHENTHARA (PO), MUKKOOTTUTHARO,
KERALA MOBILE No. 9501952917** for the violation of Article 2.1 of Anti
Doping Rules/ WADA Code.

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|--|
| 1. | Sport of athlete | Athletics |
| 2. | Sport Discipline | 400 Meters |
| 3. | Name of Competition | 51 st National Senior Inter State Athletics Champ
2011 |
| 4. | Date of Collection of sample | 12.06.2011 |
| 5. | Nature of sample | Urine |
| 6. | Sample Code | 427886 |
| 7. | Name of DCO | Murali Banavath |
| 8. | Date of testing 'A' Sample | 29.06.2011 |
| 9. | Result 'A' sample | Adverse analytical finding |

For Epimethandiol (EMD), 6 β - Hydroxymethandienone, 17-epimethandienone & 17 $-\beta$ Hydroxy Methyl-17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

Adverse analytical finding for 3-OH- Stanozolol, 4 β -OH-Stanozolol & 16 β -OH-Stanozolol (Metabolites of Stanozolol) Anabolic Steroid.

- | | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 10. | Date of first notice | 30.06.2011 |
| 11. | Date of provisional suspension | 30.06.2011 |
| 12. | Date of testing 'B' sample | 07.07.2011 |
| 13. | Result 'B' Sample | Adverse analytical finding |

For Epimethandiol (EMD), 6 β - Hydroxymethandienone, 17-epimethandienone & 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl-17 α Methylnorandro-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

Adverse analytical finding for 3-OH- Stanozolol, 4 β -OH-Stanozolol & 16 β -OH-Stanozolol (Metabolites of Stanozolol) Anabolic Steroid.

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|------------|
| 14. | Date of second Notice | 08.07.2011 |
| 15. | Date of Notification | 15.07.2011 |

ANNEXURE A -6 (ii)

Ms. TIANA MARY THOMAS D/O THOMAS MATHEW R/O KALIYANIYOL (H), CHATHENTHARA (PO), MUKKOOTTUTHARO, KERALA MOBILE No. 9501952917 for the violation of Article 2.1 of Anti Doping Rules/ WADA Code.

- | | | |
|----|---------------------|--------------------|
| 1. | Sport of athlete | Athletics |
| 2. | Sport Discipline | 400 Meters |
| 3. | Name of Competition | Out of competition |

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 4. | Date of Collection of sample | 27.06.2011 |
| 5. | Nature of sample | Urine |
| 6. | Sample Code | 414461 |
| 7. | Name of DCO | Virender Singh |
| 8. | Date of testing 'A' Sample | 04.07.2011 |
| 9. | Result 'A' sample | Adverse analytical finding |

For 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl-17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

- | | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 10. | Date of first notice | 04.07.2011 |
| 11. | Date of provisional suspension | 04.07.2011 |
| 12. | Date of testing 'B' sample | 07.07.2011 |
| 13. | Result 'B' Sample | Adverse analytical finding |

For 17 – β Hydroxy Methyl-17 α Methylnorandrost-1, 4, 13-triene-3-one(Metabolites of Methandienone), Anabolic Steroid.

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|------------|
| 14. | Date of second Notice | 08.07.2011 |
| 15. | Date of Notification | 15.07.2011 |